UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/564,206      | 01/09/2006                          | Arndt Glaesser       | 4930 / PCT          | 7959             |
|                 | 7590 07/16/200<br>VT ATTORNEYS, P.A | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| P.O. BOX 726    |                                     | HOWELL, DANIEL W     |                     |                  |
| HAMPDEN, M      | E 0 <del>4444</del> -0720           |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                 |                                     |                      | 3726                |                  |
|                 |                                     |                      |                     |                  |
|                 |                                     |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                 |                                     |                      | 07/16/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.  | Applicant(s)           |   |  |
|------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| 10/564,206       | GLAESSER, ARNDT        |   |  |
| Examiner         | Art Unit               |   |  |
| Daniel W. Howell | 3726                   |   |  |
|                  | 10/564,206<br>Examiner | 10/564,206 GLAESSER, ARND Examiner Art Unit |  |

|   | Daniel W. Howell   | 3726  |   |
|---|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the d  | correspondence add  | ress                                    |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>30 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP   | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A  | LLOWANCE.   |   |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | the same day as filing a Notice of <i>n</i> eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance  | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request           |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.  |   |   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE  | g date of the final rejectio  | n.                                      |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extractional extraction extractional extractional extractional extractional extractional extraction extraction extractional extraction | ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic                 | te extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the  |   |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b   | out prior to the date of filing a brief  | will not be entered be  | callee                                  |
| (a) The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, by the proposed after a final rejection and the proposed after a final rejection and by the proposed a |  |   | cause                                   |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  |  | , ,   |   |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or   | •  | ducing or simplifying th  | ne issues for                           |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c  | orresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.   |   |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.12  | l6 and 41.33(a)).  |   |   |
| 4. $oxed{oxed}$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   |  | mpliant Amendment (F  | PTOL-324).                              |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  |   |   |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |  |   |   |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  | l be entered and an ex  | xplanation of                           |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |   |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:<br>Claim(s) rejected: <i>8</i> -27.   |  |   |   |
| Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |   |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |   |   |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fails   | to provide a                            |
| 10.   | of the status of the claims after er   | ntry is below or attache  | ed.                                     |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br/>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>   | does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowand  | ce because:                             |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li></ul>   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |   |
|   | /Daniel W. Howell/<br>Primary Examiner, Art U  | nit 3726  |   |
|   | i filiary Examiner, Art U  | THE 31 20   |   |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In claim 8, the new limitation "in an automated comparison" is a new limitation that will require further consideration. .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the "collision signal" subject matter of claims 21 and 24, the claims are written in such a manner that the visual indication that the tool and workpiece of Jansen et al will collide will constitute a collision signal.

Continuation of 13. Other: If submitted in a separate amendment, the amendments to claims 18, 19, 26, and 27 would be entered. The amendment to page 7, lines 8-18, would also be entered if separately submitted.